

SUPPLEMENT TO:
 “TESTING EQUALITY OF AUTOCOVARANCE OPERATORS FOR
 FUNCTIONAL TIME SERIES”

Dimitrios PILAVAKIS, Efstathios PAPARODITIS and Theofanis SAPATINAS*
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cyprus,
 P.O. Box 20537, CY 1678 Nicosia, CYPRUS.

This supplement contains the proofs of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.

1 PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1

We proceed in two steps. First, we proof that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{s=-b+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s - \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[Z_0 \otimes Z_t] \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_s = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq b-1$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_s = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n+s} Z_{t-s} \otimes Z_t$ for $-b+1 \leq s < 0$. Then, we prove that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{s=-b+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) (\tilde{\Gamma}_s - \hat{\Gamma}_s) \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1). \quad (1.2)$$

Consider (1.1). Since $\|n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_t \otimes Z_t - \mathbb{E}[Z_0 \otimes Z_0]\|_{HS} = o_p(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to show that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s - \sum_{t \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[Z_0 \otimes Z_t] \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1). \quad (1.3)$$

Let $c_{\infty}^+ = \sum_{t \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[Z_0 \otimes Z_t]$, $c_m^+ = \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E}[Z_{0,m} \otimes Z_{t,m}]$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m}$. Then,

$$\left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s - c_{\infty}^+ \right\|_{HS} \leq \|c_m^+ - c_{\infty}^+\|_{HS} + \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} - c_m^+ \right\|_{HS}$$

*Corresponding author (email: fanis@ucy.ac.cy)

$$+ \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s - \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} \right\|_{HS}. \quad (1.4)$$

Assertion (1.3) is proved by showing that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all three terms on the right hand side of (1.4) can be made arbitrarily small, in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $m = m_0$.

For the first term of the right hand side of the above inequality, we use the bound

$$\left\| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} [Z_{0,m} \otimes Z_{t,m} - Z_0 \otimes Z_t] \right\|_{HS} + \left\| \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} [Z_0 \otimes Z_t] \right\|_{HS} \quad (1.5)$$

and the decomposition

$$Z_{0,m} \otimes Z_{t,m} - Z_0 \otimes Z_t = (Z_{0,m} - Z_0) \otimes Z_{t,m} + Z_0 \otimes (Z_{t,m} - Z_t).$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we get, for the first term of (1.5), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} [(Z_{0,m} - Z_0) \otimes Z_{t,m}] \right\|_{HS} + \left\| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} [Z_0 \otimes (Z_{t,m} - Z_t)] \right\|_{HS} \\ & \leq 2 (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \sum_{t=1}^m (\mathbb{E} \|Z_{0,m} - Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \\ & = 2 (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} m (\mathbb{E} \|Z_{0,m} - Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Assumption 1 of the main paper, we get that, for every $\epsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the last quantity above is less than ϵ_1 for every $m \geq m_1$. Consider the second term of the right hand side of (1.5). Since Z_0 and $Z_{t,t}$ are independent for $t \geq m+1$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z_0] = 0$, we get, using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\left\| \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} [Z_0 \otimes Z_t] \right\|_{HS} \leq (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0 - Z_{0,t}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Using (8) of the main paper, it follows that, for every $\epsilon_2 > 0$, there exists $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the above quantity is less than ϵ_2 for every $m \geq m_2$.

For the second term of the bound in (1.4), note that, for every $m \geq 1$, we have that for any fixed s , as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} - \mathbb{E}[Z_{0,m} \otimes Z_{s,m}] \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1).$$

Hence, the aforementioned term of interest is $o_p(1)$, if we show that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1). \quad (1.6)$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)}$, we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} \right\|_{HS}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left\langle \sum_{s_1=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s_1) \tilde{\Gamma}_{s_1}^{(m)}, \sum_{s_2=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s_2) \tilde{\Gamma}_{s_2}^{(m)} \right\rangle_{HS}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{s_1=m+1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=m+1}^{b-1} \sum_{t_1=1}^{n-s_1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{n-s_2} g_b(s_1)g_b(s_2)\mathbb{E}\langle Z_{t_1,m} \otimes Z_{t_1+s_1,m}, Z_{t_2,m} \otimes Z_{t_2+s_2,m} \rangle_{HS}.$$

Since the sequence $\{Z_{t,m}, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is m -dependent, $Z_{t,m}$ and $Z_{t+s,m}$ are independent for $s \geq m+1$ and, therefore, $\mathbb{E}[Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m}] = 0$ for $s \geq m+1$. Hence, the number of terms $\mathbb{E}\langle Z_{t_1,m} \otimes Z_{t_1+s_1,m}, Z_{t_2,m} \otimes Z_{t_2+s_2,m} \rangle_{HS}$ in the last equation above which do not vanish is of order $O(nb)$ and, consequently, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)} \right\|_{HS}^2 = O\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) = o(1), \quad (1.7)$$

from which (1.6) follows by Markov's inequality.

For the third term in (1.4) we show that, for $m = m_0$ and for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) (\tilde{\Gamma}_s - \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)}) \right\|_{HS} > \delta\right) = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Using Markov's inequality, expression (1.8) follows if we show that, for $m = m_0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) (\tilde{\Gamma}_s - \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)}) \right\|_{HS} = o(1). \quad (1.9)$$

Now, by the definitions of $\tilde{\Gamma}_h$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) (\tilde{\Gamma}_s - \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{(m)}) \right\|_{HS} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^m g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} - Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m}) \right\|_{HS} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} - Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m}) \right\|_{HS}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the decomposition

$$Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} - Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m} = (Z_t - Z_{t,m}) \otimes Z_{t+s} + Z_{t,m} \otimes (Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,m}),$$

we get, for the first term of the right hand side of (1.10), the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (\mathbb{E} \|(Z_t - Z_{t,m}) \otimes Z_{t+s}\|_{HS} + \mathbb{E} \|Z_{t,m} \otimes (Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,m})\|_{HS}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (\mathbb{E} \|Z_t - Z_{t,m}\|_{HS}^2 \mathbb{E} \|Z_{t+s}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} + (\mathbb{E} \|Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,m}\|_{HS}^2 \mathbb{E} \|Z_{t,m}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq m[(\mathbb{E} \|Z_0 - Z_{0,m}\|_{HS}^2 \mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} + (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0 - Z_{0,m}\|_{HS}^2 \mathbb{E} \|Z_{0,m}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 1 of the main paper, it follows that, for every $\epsilon_3 > 0$, there exists $m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_3$, this quantity is less than ϵ_3 . For the second term on the right hand side of (1.10), we use the bound

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} \right\|_{HS} + \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_{t,m} \otimes Z_{t+s,m} \right\|_{HS}. \quad (1.11)$$

Expression (1.7) implies that the second summand of (1.11) is $o(1)$. For the first term of (1.11), we use the decomposition

$$Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} = Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} + Z_t \otimes (Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,s}),$$

and get the bound

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \right\|_{HS} + \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes (Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,s}) \right\|_{HS}. \quad (1.12)$$

For the last term of expression (1.12), we have the bound

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \mathbb{E} \|Z_t \otimes (Z_{t+s} - Z_{t+s,s})\|_{HS} \leq (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0 - Z_{0,s}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, since $\{Z_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is L^2 - m -approximable, with $Z_{0,m}$ be the m -dependent approximation of Z_0 , it follows that for every $\epsilon_4 > 0$, there exists $m_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_4$, this term is less than ϵ_4 . Consider next the first term of (1.12). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \right\|_{HS} &\leq \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \right\|_{HS} \\ &\leq \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \right\|_{HS}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Since Z_0 and $Z_{s,s}$ are independent, $\|Z_0 \otimes Z_t\|_{HS} = \|Z_0\|_{HS} \|Z_t\|_{HS}$ and $\mathbb{E} \langle Z_0 \otimes Z_{s,s}, Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \rangle_{HS} = \mathbb{E} \langle Z_0, Z_t \rangle_{HS} \langle Z_{s,s}, Z_{t+s,s} \rangle_{HS} = 0$ for $|t| > s$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \right\|_{HS}^2 &\leq \frac{n-s}{n^2} \sum_{|t| < n-s} \mathbb{E} (\langle Z_0 \otimes Z_{s,s}, Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \rangle_{HS}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s |\mathbb{E} \langle Z_0 \otimes Z_{s,s}, Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s} \rangle_{HS}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s \mathbb{E} \|Z_0 \otimes Z_{s,s}\|_{HS} \|Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s,s}\|_{HS} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s \mathbb{E} \|Z_0 \otimes Z_{s,s}\|_{HS}^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s (\mathbb{E} \|Z_0\|_{HS}^2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s (\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0\|_{HS}^2)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=-s}^s (\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{HS}^4)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (1.13), the first term of (1.12) is $O_P(b^{3/2}/n^{1/2})$. The proof is then concluded by choosing $m_0 = \max\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$.

Consider (1.2). First note that using Theorem 3 of Kokoszka and Reimherr (2013), we get, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n [Z_t \otimes Z_t - \hat{Z}_t \otimes \hat{Z}_t] \right\|_{HS} = \|(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0) \otimes (\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0)\|_{HS} = \frac{1}{n} \|\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0)\|_{HS}^2 = O_P(1/n).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} [Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} - \hat{Z}_t \otimes \hat{Z}_{t+s}] \right\|_{HS} = o_p(1).$$

Again, by Theorem 3 of Kokoszka and Reimherr (2013), we get that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} [Z_t \otimes Z_{t+s} - \hat{Z}_t \otimes \hat{Z}_{t+s}] \right\|_{HS} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} [(X_t \otimes X_t) \otimes (\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0) + (\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0) \otimes (X_{t+s} \otimes X_{t+s}) + \mathcal{C}_0 \otimes \mathcal{C}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0] \right\|_{HS} \\ &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (X_t \otimes X_t) \right\|_{HS} \|\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0)\|_{HS} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 - \mathcal{C}_0)\|_{HS} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (X_{t+s} \otimes X_{t+s}) \right\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \|\mathcal{C}_0\|_{HS} \|\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{C}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0)\|_{HS} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \|\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0\|_{HS} \|\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{C}_0 - \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0)\|_{HS} = O_P(b/\sqrt{n}) = o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

2 PROOF OF LEMMA 5.2

Since $\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) du dv$ converges and is finite, and since

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \iint (Z_t(u, v))^2 du dv \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E} \iint (Z_0(u, v))^2 du dv$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) du dv \xrightarrow{P} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) du dv. \quad (2.1)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) du dv - \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) du dv \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} \iint Z_{0,m}(u, v) Z_{t,m}(u, v) du dv - \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) du dv \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv - \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} \iint Z_{0,m}(u, v) Z_{t,m}(u, v) dudv \right| \\
& + \left| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) dudv - \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right|, \quad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

assertion (2.1) is proved by showing that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all three terms on the right hand side of (2.2) can be made arbitrarily small in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $m = m_0$.

For the first term, we use the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{t=1}^m \left(\mathbb{E} \iint Z_{0,m}(u, v) Z_{t,m}(u, v) dudv - \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) dudv \right) \right| \\
& + \left| \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) dudv \right|. \quad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the decomposition

$$Z_{0,m}(u, v) Z_{t,m}(u, v) - Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) = [Z_{0,m}(u, v) - Z_0(u, v)] Z_{t,m}(u, v) + Z_0(u, v) [Z_{t,m}(u, v) - Z_t(u, v)],$$

we get that the first term of (2.3) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} \iint [Z_{0,m}(u, v) - Z_0(u, v)] Z_{t,m}(u, v) dudv \right| + \left| \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) [Z_{t,m}(u, v) - Z_t(u, v)] dudv \right| \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{t=1}^m \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left[\iint [Z_{0,m}(u, v) - Z_0(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\iint [Z_{t,m}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \right\} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{t=1}^m \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_{0,m}(u, v) - Z_0(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_{t,m}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{t=1}^m \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [X_{0,m}(u) X_{0,m}(v) - X_0(u) X_0(v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [X_{0,m}(u) X_{0,m}(v) - c(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \\
& = 2 \sum_{t=1}^m \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m} - X_0 \otimes X_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m} - C\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
& = \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0 - C\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left(m \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m} - X_0 \otimes X_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (9) of the main paper, and since $\{X_t \otimes X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is L^2 - m -approximable, it follows that for every $\epsilon_1 > 0$ there exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the above term is less than ϵ_1 for every $m \geq m_1$. Consider the second term of (2.3). Since $Z_0(u, v)$ and $Z_{t,t}(u, v)$ are independent for $t \geq m+1$, using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) Z_t(u, v) dudv \right| = \left| \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \iint Z_0(u, v) [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,t}(u, v)] dudv \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_0(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,t}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [X_0(u)X_0(v) - c(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [X_t(u)X_t(v) - X_{t,t}(u)X_{t,t}(v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \\
&= \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m} - C\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \sum_{t=m+1}^{\infty} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m} - X_0 \otimes X_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (8) of the main paper, it follows that for every $\epsilon_2 > 0$, there exists $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the above quantity is less than ϵ_2 for every $m \geq m_2$.

Consider next the second term of the the right-hand side of the inequality (2.2). Note that for every $m \geq 1$, we have that, for any fixed s , as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv - \mathbb{E} \iint Z_{0,m}(u, v) Z_{s,m}(u, v) dudv \right| = o_p(1).$$

Therefore, the aforementioned term is $o_p(1)$ if we show that

$$\left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right| = o_p(1). \quad (2.4)$$

For this, notice first that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{s_1=m+1}^{b-1} \sum_{s_2=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s_1) g_b(s_2) \sum_{t_1=1}^{n-s_1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{n-s_2} \mathbb{E} \left[\iint Z_{t_1,m}(u_1, v_1) Z_{t_1+s_1,m}(u_1, v_1) du_1 dv_1 \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \iint Z_{t_2,m}(u_2, v_2) Z_{t_2+s_2,m}(u_2, v_2) du_2 dv_2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{Z_{t,m}(u, v), t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is m -dependent, $Z_{t,m}(u, v)$ and $Z_{t+s,m}(u, v)$ are independent for $s \geq m + 1$, therefore using $\mathbb{E}(Z_{0,m}(u, v)) = 0$ we get that, $\mathbb{E} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv = 0$. Hence, the number of terms

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\iint Z_{t_1,m}(u_1, v_1) Z_{t_1+s_1,m}(u_1, v_1) du_1 dv_1 \times \iint Z_{t_2,m}(u_2, v_2) Z_{t_2+s_2,m}(u_2, v_2) du_2 dv_2 \right]$$

in the last equation above which do not vanish is of order $O(nb)$ and, consequently, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right]^2 = O\left(\frac{b}{n}\right) = o(1), \quad (2.5)$$

from which (2.4) follows by Markov's inequality.

For the third term in (2.2), we show that, for $m = m_0$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left(\left| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) dudv \right| \right)$$

$$- \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \Big| > \delta \Big) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

for any $\delta > 0$. By Markov's inequality, expression (2.6) follows if we show that, for $m = m_0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) dudv - Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right| = o(1). \quad (2.7)$$

For the above quantity we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=1}^m g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right| \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

For the first term of the right hand side of the above inequality, using the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) \\ & = [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v)] Z_{t+s}(u, v) + [Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,m}(u, v)] Z_{t,m}(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

we get the bound,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \mathbb{E} \iint |[Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v)] Z_{t+s}(u, v)| dudv \\ & + \mathbb{E} \iint |[Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,m}(u, v)] Z_{t,m}(u, v)| dudv. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \iint |[Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v)] Z_{t+h}(u, v)| dudv \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\iint [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\iint [Z_{t+h}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,m}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \iint [Z_{t+h}(u, v)]^2 dudv \right]^{1/2} \\ & = \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_t \otimes X_t - X_{t,m} \otimes X_{t,m}\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{t+h} \otimes X_{t+h} - C_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Using the same arguments, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \iint |[Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,m}(u, v)] Z_{t,m}(u, v)| dudv \\ & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{t+s} \otimes X_{t+s} - X_{t+s,m} \otimes X_{t+s,m}\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_t \otimes X_t - C\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.9) is bounded by

$$2(\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0 - C_0\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \left[m(\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0 - X_{0,m} \otimes X_{0,m}\|_{HS}^2)^{1/2} \right].$$

Hence, by (9) of the main paper, it follows that, for every $\epsilon_3 > 0$, there exists $m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_3$, this quantity is bounded by ϵ_3 . For the second term on the right hand side of (2.8), we use the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) dudv \right| \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,m}(u, v) Z_{t+s,m}(u, v) dudv \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Expression (2.5) implies that the second summand of (2.11) is $o(1)$, while for the first term of (2.11) we use the decomposition

$$Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s}(u, v) = Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) + Z_t(u, v) [Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,s}(u, v)]$$

to get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right| \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) [Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,s}(u, v)] dudv \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Using same arguments as those applied in (2.10), we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \iint |Z_t(u, v) [Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,s}(u, v)]| dudv \\ & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{t+s} \otimes X_{t+s} - X_{t+s,s} \otimes X_{t+s,s}\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_t \otimes X_t - \mathcal{C}_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the last term of expression (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_t(u, v) [Z_{t+s}(u, v) - Z_{t+s,s}(u, v)] dudv \right| \\ & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0 - \mathcal{C}_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \sum_{s=m+1}^{\infty} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_0 \otimes X_0 - X_{0,s} \otimes X_{0,s}\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (8) of the main paper, we get that for every $\epsilon_4 > 0$, there exists $m_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_4$, this term is bounded by ϵ_4 . Consider next the first term of (2.12). Using the decomposition

$$Z_t(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) = [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,s}(u, v)] Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) + Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v),$$

we get the bound

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,s}(u, v)] Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right|$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right|. \quad (2.13)$$

For the first term of this bound, and by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint [Z_t(u, v) - Z_{t,s}(u, v)] Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right| \\ & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_t \otimes X_t - X_{t,m} \otimes X_{t,m}\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbb{E} \|X_{t+s} \otimes X_{t+s} - \mathcal{C}_0\|_{HS}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (8) of the main paper, it follows that, for every $\epsilon_5 > 0$, there exists $m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every $m \geq m_3$, this quantity is bounded by ϵ_5 . Consider the last term of the expression given in (2.13) and note that $\{\iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a $2s$ -dependent sequence. Also note that since $Z_{t,s}(u, v)$ and $Z_{t+s,s}(u, v)$ are independent $\mathbb{E} \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv = 0$. Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv = O_P(1)$. Hence, using Portmanteau's theorem, and since $f(x) = |x|$ is a Lipschitz function, we get that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right| = O(1).$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} g_b(s) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=m+1}^{b-1} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} \iint Z_{t,s}(u, v) Z_{t+s,s}(u, v) dudv \right| = O(b/\sqrt{n}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is concluded by choosing $m_0 = \max\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$.

References

- [1] Kokoszka, P. and Reimherr, M. (2013). Asymptotic normality of the principal components of functional time series. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, Vol. **123**, 1546–1562.